Tetrahedron 65 (2009) 10701–10708

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00404020)

Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

Asymmetric synthesis of triacetyl-D-erythro-sphingosine and D-1 deoxyallonojirimycin via Miyashita C2 selective endo-mode azide opening of 2,3-epoxy alcohol

R. Sridhar, B. Srinivas, K. Rama Rao *

Organic Chemistry Division-I, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

article info

Article history: Received 12 August 2009 Received in revised form 7 October 2009 Accepted 11 October 2009 Available online 14 October 2009

Dedicated to Dr. K. Nagarajan on the occasion of his 79th birthday

ABSTRACT

An efficient protocol for the asymmetric synthesis of triacetyl-D-erythro-sphingosine and D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin has been developed starting from commercially available propargyl alcohol. The key steps involved Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation and Miyashita C2 selective endo-mode azide opening of the 2,3-epoxy alcohol.

- 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Advances in organic synthesis, especially of chiral intermediates, have been facilitated by many novel methodologies developed over the decades. Amongst them, the combination of Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation and C2 selective endo-mode nucleophilic substitution reaction of 2,3-epoxy alcohol developed by Miyashita et al. 1 have acquired significance for the production of key intermediates in natural product synthesis.^{[2](#page-6-0)} This type of reaction proceeds via an intramolecular boron chelate through a novel endo-mode epoxide opening with extremely high C2 selectivity.¹ We have utilised this approach for the asymmetric synthesis of two biologically important compounds triacetyl-D-

erythro-sphingosine and D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin and describe herein their significance.

Sphingolipids are long chain amino alcohols, which are found in the plasma membranes of eukaryotic cells. They play important role in many physiological processes, such as immune response, cell recognition, adhesion, apoptosis 3 and are implicated in a variety of diseases, such as cancer, Alzheimer's disease and an array of neurological syndromes[.4](#page-6-0)

Although a number of structurally related sphingoid base structures are known, the most frequently encountered sphingoid base in nature is *p-erythro-(2S,3R)-sphingosine* 1. *p-erythro-sphin*gosine 1 and its derivatives (Fig. 1) are shown to be promising enzyme inhibitors.⁵ This has led to growing interest in developing

efficient methods for their synthesis.^{[6](#page-6-0)} Many of these syntheses start from various chiral pools, such as amino acids^{[7](#page-6-0)} and carbohydrates.⁸ Thus, recent interest has increasingly focused on the enantioselective synthesis of *p-erythro-sphingosine* and its derivative from achiral sources.^{[9](#page-6-0)}

Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 40 27193164; fax: +91 40 27160512. E-mail address: kakulapatirama@gmail.com (K.R. Rao).

^{0040-4020/\$ –} see front matter © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2009.10.035

Another important class of biologically important compounds are alkaloid sugar mimics with nitrogen in the ring (commonly known as iminosugars, azasugars, or polyhydroxy piperidines), 10 which have become important tools in glycobiology due to their role as glycosidase inhibitors.¹¹ Glycosidase inhibitors have received great deal of attention because of their therapeutic potential in the treatment of cancer, viral infections including HIV, diabetes and other metabolic disorders.¹² Amongst various polyhydroxy piperidines, deoxynojirimycin (DNJ 4) and its analogues (Fig. 2) have acquired significance due to their potential as drugs for treating a variety of carbohydrate mediated diseases.[13](#page-6-0) Traditionally enantiopure azasugars were synthesised from the readily available chiral starting materials like carbohydrates, or aminoacid derivatives.[14](#page-6-0) Amongst these azasugars, D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin **7** has become important due to its significant biological activity.^{[15](#page-6-0)} As a result much synthetic efforts have been directed towards the stereoselective synthesis D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin **7.**^{[16,26](#page-6-0)}

yield. The E-allylic alcohol 11 was subjected to Sharpless asym-metric epoxidation^{[18](#page-7-0)} by using D(–)-diethyl tartrate, Ti(OⁱPr)₄ and TBHP to afford epoxyalcohol 12 in 79% yield.

The highly efficient C2 selective azide substitution reaction of 12 was accomplished by using $NaN₃-(CH₃O)₃B$ system developed by Miyashita et al.¹ This reaction proceeds via an intramolecular boron chelate through a novel endo-mode epoxide opening with extremely high C2 selectivity ([Scheme 2\)](#page-2-0). Under these conditions, the desired azido diol was produced in good yield and high diastereoselectively (C2/C3 opening, 14:1). The minor 1,2-diol that resulted from C3 opening was removed by treating the mixture with sodium periodate to give pure 2-azide-1,3-diol 13 in 83% yield.

The resulting 1,3-diol 13 was protected as benzylidene acetal using benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal¹⁹ in the presence of catalytic amount of PPTS in benzene to give the desired acetal 14 in good yield (92%). Reduction of azide with Lindlar catalyst^{[19](#page-7-0)} in methanol worked efficiently to give the amine, which was taken for the next

Figure 2.

We herein, disclose a simple and convenient approach for the asymmetric synthesis of triacetyl-D-erythro-sphingosine 19 and D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin 7 starting from the commercially available propargyl alcohol 8.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis of triacetyl-D-erythro-sphingosine (19)

The retrosynthetic analysis of 19 revealed an intermediate 17, which can be synthesised conveniently from 2,3-epoxy alcohol 12 and cross-metathesis reaction could be utilised for establishing the E-double bond of the sphingosine backbone (Fig. 3).

Figure 3. Retrosynthetic analysis of triacetyl-D-erythro-sphingosine 19.

The propargyl alcohol 8 was protected as its PMB ether 9 using PMB-Br and NaH in THF with 93% yield. Homologation¹⁷ of 9 was achieved using n -BuLi and formaldehyde resulting in 10 (93%), which was followed by stereoselective reduction of triple bond with LiAlH₄ in THF to give the desired trans-allylic alcohol 11 in 94% step without purification. It was protected with $(Boc)₂O$ in the presence of β -cyclodextrin²⁰ in water to give 15 in good yield. Deprotection of PMB group using DDQ in DCM/pH 7 buffer gave alcohol 16 (92%), which upon oxidation under Swern conditions yielded the aldehyde. The resulting crude aldehyde was subjected to Wittig methylenation reaction using Ph₃PCH₃Br and ^tBuOK in THF at 0° C to produce the desired olefin 17 in 85% yield.

With the key intermediate in hand, we proceeded with the olefin cross metathesis using 1-pentadecene in the presence of 10 mol % of Grubbs II generation catalyst, which provided the cross-coupled product 18 with complete E-stereo selectivity in 94%, yield.^{9a} Finally, deprotection of 18 with 6 N HCl in MeOH, followed by reaction with $Ac₂O$ in pyridine gave desired product 19 in 92% yield. The spectroscopic and analytical data of triacetyl-p-erythro-sphingosine 19 were in good agreement with the literature values. 21

2.2. Synthesis of D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin (7)

After successful synthesis of 19, we exploited the synthesis of D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin 7 from the same starting material 8 ([Scheme 3\)](#page-3-0). The $(-)$ -enantiomer of 12 was prepared by using Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of 11 by using $L(+)$ -diethyl tartrate, $Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$ and TBHP. The same sequence of reactions (of [Scheme 1\)](#page-2-0) was carried out for the synthesis of $(-)$ -enantiomer of 15 from 12. Allylation of 15 with allyl bromide using NaH and catalytic amount of crown ether resulted in 20 (94%). Deprotection of PMB group using DDQ in DCM/pH 7 buffer gave alcohol 21 (95%), which upon oxidation under Swern conditions yielded aldehyde. The resulting crude aldehyde was subjected to olefination under modified Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons olefination 22 22 22 using triethylphosphono acetate and DBU in the presence of Lithium bromide to produce the desired α , β -unsaturated ester 22 in 94% yield.

The useful piperidine intermediate 23 was accomplished by ring-closing metathesis²³ using Grubbs I generation catalyst (10 mol %) at 90 \degree C in good yield (92%). The subsequent diol 24 was obtained as a single diastereomer in high yield (86%) by the

Scheme 1. Synthesis of triacetyl-p-erythro-sphingosine **19**. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) PMBBr, NaH, THF, 0 °C-rt, 12 h, 93%; (ii) n -BuLi, (CH₂O)_n, THF, –78 °C-rt, 16 h, 93%; (iii) LiAlH4, THF, 0 °C-rt, 12 h, 94%; (iv) D(-)-DET, Ti(OⁱPr)4, TBHP, 4 Å MS, DCM, –20 °C, 3 h, 79%; (v) (MeO)3B, NaN3, DMF, 50 °C, 3 h, 83%; (vi) PhCH(OMe)2, PPTS, Benzene, reflux, 15 h, 92%; (vii) (a) H2, Lindlar cat, MeOH, rt, 6 h; (b) (Boc)2O, b-CD/H2O, rt, 20 min, 90% for two steps; (viii) DDQ, DCM/pH 7 buffer (5:1), rt, 2 h, 92%; (ix) (a) oxalyl chloride, DMSO, TEA, DCM, –78 °C, 1 h; (b) Ph3PCH3Br, 'BuOK, THF, 0 °C, 1 h, 85%; (x) 1-pentadecene, Grubbs II generation catalyst (10 mol %), CH2Cl2, reflux, overnight, 94%; (xi) 6 N HCl, MeOH, rt overnight; then Ac_2O , pyridine, 92% for two steps.

Scheme 2. C2 selective azide substitution reaction.

stereoselective dihydroxylation of the double bond²⁴ of piperidine **23** using cat. OsO₄ and 4-methyl morpholine N-oxide at 0° C. The formation of single diastereomer 24 can be explained by the approach of OsO₄ from the less hindered α -face of the olefin due to steric hindrance on the β -face by the bulky N-Boc group.^{[25](#page-7-0)} The structure of 24 was also further confirmed by conversion to D-1 deoxyallonojirimycin 7 of known stereochemical configuration. Deprotection of 24 with 6 N HCl in MeOH, followed by treatment with ion-exchange resin DOWEX 50Wx8 yielded 7 in 89%. The spectroscopic and analytical data of D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin 7 were in good agreement with the literature values.^{[14c](#page-6-0)}

3. Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated a simple and highly efficient asymmetric synthesis for triacetyl-D-erythro-sphingosine and D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin. The stereogenic centres in the polar head group of triacetyl-p-erythro-sphingosine were installed by using Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation, endo-mode C2 selective azide substitution of 2,3-epoxy alcohol followed by cross-metathesis reaction to establish the E-double bond of the sphingosine backbone, whereas the asymmetric synthesis of D-1-deoxyallonojirimycin was obtained utilising Sharpless epoxidation, endo-mode C2 selective azide substitution of epoxide, Horner– Wadsworth–Emmons olefination, RCM with Grubbs catalyst and diastereoselective dihydroxylation as the key steps.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

Commercial reagents were used without further purification. All solvents were purified by standard techniques. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 683 spectrometer. Optical rotations were obtained on a Jasco Dip 360 digital polarimeter. Melting points are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded in $CDCl₃$ on Varian Gemini 200, Bruker 300 or Varian Unity 400 NMR spectrometer. Column chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel (60–120 mesh). Mass spectra were obtained on Finnigan MAT1020B or micromass VG 70–70H spectrometer operating at 70 eV using a direct inlet system. All high resolution spectra were recorded on QSTAR XL hybrid MS/MS system equipped with an ESI source (IICT, Hyderabad).

4.1.1. 1-Methoxy-4-((prop-2-ynyloxy)methyl)benzene ($\mathbf{9})^{26}$. A roundbottom flask was charged with 60% dispersion of sodium hydride in mineral oil (1.66 g, 42.8 mmol) under nitrogen, the oil was removed by washing with hexane (20 mL), THF (50 mL) was then added and

Scheme 3. Synthesis of D-allo-DNJ **7.** Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) L(+)-DET, Ti(OⁱPr)₄, TBHP, 4 Å MS, DCM, –20 °C, 3 h, 80%; (ii) NaH, allyl bromide, 18-crown-6-ether, THF, 0 °C-rt, 3 h, 94%; (iii) DDQ, DCM/pH 7 buffer (5:1), rt, 2 h, 95%; (iv) (a) oxalyl chloride, DMSO, TEA, DCM, –78 °C, 1 h; (b) LiBr, triethylphosphonoacetate, DBU, THF, rt, 1 h, 94% for two steps; (v) Grubbs I generation catalyst, Toluene, 90 °C, 2 h, 92%; (vi) 4% OsO4, NMO, acetone:water, 0 °C, overnight, 86%; (vii) 6 N HCl, MeOH, rt, overnight; DOWEX 50wX8, 89%

the resulting cloudy white suspension was cooled to 0° C. A solution of propargyl alcohol $\boldsymbol{8}$ (2 g, 35.7 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added via syringe, followed by p-methoxybenzyl bromide (8.6 g, 42.8 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. It was then carefully quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl (50 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of crude compound by flash chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexane) afforded PMB ether 9 (5.8 g, 93%) as yellow liquid; R_f (5% EtOAc/hexane) 0.42; IR (neat): λ_{max} 3288, 3002, 2940, 1248, 1078 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.24 (2H, d, J=8.1 Hz, Ar-H), 6.84 (2H, d, J=8.1 Hz, Ar-H), 4.52 (2H, s, benzylic CH₂), 4.10 $(2H, d, J=2.2 Hz, CH₂OPMB)$, 3.79 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.4 (1H, t, J=2.2 Hz, triple bond CH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.2, 129.6, 129.1, 113.7, 79.6, 74.4, 70.9, 56.5, 55.1; MS (ESIMS): m/z 177 $[M+H]^+$; HRMS (ESI): [MH]⁺, found 177.0822. C₁₁H₁₃O₂ requires 177.0837.

4.1.2. 4-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)but-2-yn-1-ol (**10**)^{[26](#page-7-0)}. To a solution of alkyne 9 (4 g, 22.7 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was added at -78 °C a 1.6 M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (14.2 mL, 22.7 mmol) dropwise over 10 min under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 40 min, then dry paraformaldehyde (0.98 g, 34 mmol) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for 16 h. Saturated aqueous $NH₄Cl$ solution (25 mL) was carefully added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by chromatography (30% EtOAc/hexane) to afford 10 (4.36 g, 93%) as yellow liquid; R_f (15% EtOAc/hexane) 0.44; IR (neat): λ_{max} 3413, 2935, 2860, 1611, 1513, 1248, 1028 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.22 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz, Ar-H), 6.81 (2H, d, J=8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 4.48 (2H, s, benzylic CH₂), 4.24 (2H, s, CH₂OPMB), 4.12 (2H, s, CH₂OH), 3.77 (3H, s, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.1, 129.5, 129.1, 128.3, 113.6, 84.8, 81.1, 71.1, 56.8, 55.0, 50.4; MS

(ESIMS): m/z 229 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 229.0937. C12H14O3Na requires 229.0946.

4.1.3. (E)-4-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)but-2-en-1-ol (11)^{[26](#page-7-0)}. In a clean and dry round-bottom flask LiAl H_4 (1.29 g, 33.9 mmol) and dry THF (25 mL) were taken under nitrogen atmosphere and cooled to 0 \degree C. To this a solution, alcohol 10 (3.5 g, 16.9 mmol) dissolved in THF (30 mL) was added via syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. It was cooled to 0° C, then carefully quenched with saturated aq $Na₂SO₄$ (10 mL) and stirred for 3 h. Then it was filtered through Celite and washed with ethyl acetate $(20 \text{ mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (25 mL), brine (20 mL), dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (30% EtOAc/hexane) to give the allylic alcohol 11 (3.3 g, 94%) as yellowish oily liquid; R_f (25% EtOAc/hexane) 0.45; IR (neat): λ_{max} 3400, 2932, 2855, 1611, 1513, 1093 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.22 (2H, d, J=8.3 Hz, Ar-H), 6.82 (2H, d, J=9.0 Hz, Ar-H), 5.72-5.92 (2H, m, CH=CH), 4.42 (2H, s, benzylic CH₂), 4.12 (2H, d, J=3.7 Hz, CH₂OPMB), 3.96 (2H, d, J=4.5 Hz, CH₂OH), 3.79 (3H, s, OCH₃), 1.49 (1H, s, OH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): d 159.1, 132.2, 130.1, 129.3, 127.7, 113.7, 71.8, 69.7, 62.8, 55.2; MS (ESIMS): m/z 226 [M+NH₄]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+H]⁺, found 209.1137. $C_{13}H_{16}O_3$ requires 209.1146.

4.1.4. ((2R,3R)-3-((4-Methoxybenzyloxy)methyl)oxiran-2-yl)methanol (12). To a cooled $(-20 °C)$ suspension of activated, powdered 4 Å MS (7.5 g) in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) under nitrogen were added D (–)-DET (0.68 g, 3.3 mmol), Ti(OⁱPr)₄ (0.87 g, 3 mmol), and TBHP (4 M in toluene, 3.3 mL, 13.2 mmol). After 20 min, a solution of alcohol 11 (2.3 g, 11 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (90 mL) was added at -20 °C over a period of 20 min. The resulting mixture was stirred at that temperature for 3 h, quenched with a cooled solution of ferrous sulfate and tartaric acid (stoichiometric amount) in distilled water, stirred vigorously for 30 min, and extracted with ether (50 mL \times 3). The combined organic layers were treated with a pre-cooled $(0\degree C)$

solution of 30% NaOH (w/v) in brine and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (30 mL \times 3). The combined ether layers were washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed (40% EtOAc/hexane) to give epoxide 12 (1.95 g, 79%) as white solid; R_f (25% EtOAc/hexane) 0.56; Mp 84 °C; [a] $^{25}_{\rm D}$ +14.0 (c 1, CHCl₃); { $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ of compound (-)**12**=-13.9 (c 1, CHCl₃)}; IR (KBr): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 3445, 3015, 2928, 1612, 1513, 1060 cm $^{-1};\,{}^{1}{\rm H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.21 (2H, d, J=8.3 Hz, Ar-H), 6.82 (2H, d, J=9.1 Hz, Ar-H), 4.47 (2H, dd, $J=11.3$, 7.5 Hz, benzylic CH₂), 3.85–3.92 (1H, m, CH_aH_bOPMB), 3.79 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.56–3.68 (2H, m, CH_aH_bOPMB and CH_aH_bOH), 3.46 (1H, dd, J=5.3, 11.3 Hz, CH_aH_bOH), 3.13–3.17 (1H, m, epoxide), 2.99–3.03 (1H, m, epoxide), 1.81 (1H, br s, OH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz); δ 158.9, 129.5, 129.1, 113.4, 72.5, 69.1, 61.04, 55.6, 54.9, 54.1; MS (ESIMS): m/z 247 $[M+Na]^+$; HRMS (ESI): $[M+Na]^+$, found 247.0937. C₁₂H₁₆O₄Na requires 247.0946.

4.1.5. (2S,3S)-4-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-2-azidobutane-1,3-diol (13). A mixture of epoxy alcohol 12 (1.6 g, 7.1 mmol), $(MeO)_{3}B$ $(1.6$ mL, 14 mmol), and NaN₃ (0.91 g, 14 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was stirred at 50 \degree C for 3 h. After cooling to 0 \degree C, a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2\times20$ mL). The combined organic layer was successively washed with water (10 mL), saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (5 mL), brine (5 mL), dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to get yellow oil. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL) and treated with a solution of NaIO4 (0.45 g, 2.1 mmol) in water (15 mL). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 20 min and then extracted with EtOAc $(3\times30 \text{ mL})$. The organic fractions were combined, washed with brine (20 mL), dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (40% EtOAc/hexane) to give azide 13 (1.58 g, 83%) as a pale yellow oil; R_f (30% EtOAc/hexane) 0.5; [α] $_{{\rm D}}^{25}$ +18.1 (c 1, CHCl₃); {[α] $_{\rm D}^{25}$ of compound (–)**13**=–17.9 (c 1, CHCl₃)}; IR (neat): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 3415, 2932, 2101, 1513, 1082 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_3$): δ 7.22 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz, Ar-H), 6.85 (2H, d, J=8.7 Hz, Ar-H), 4.47 (2H, s, benzylic CH₂), 3.81–3.87 (1H, m, CH_aH_bOPMB), 3.79 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.77–3.78 (2H, m, CH_aH_bOPMB and CHOH), 3.45–3.61 (3H, m, CH₂OH and CHN₃), 2.92 (1H, br s, OH), 2.57 (1H, br s, OH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz): d 158.9, 129.1, 113.4, 72.6, 70.4, 69.6, 63.7, 61.73, 54.7; MS (ESIMS): m/z 290 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 290.1110. C₁₂H₁₇N₃O₄Na requires 290.1116.

4.1.6. (2S,4S,5S)-4-((4-Methoxybenzyloxy)methyl)-5-azido-2-phenyl-1,3-dioxane (14). Benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (0.51 g, 33 mmol) was added in one portion to a solution of diol 13 (0.9 g, 33 mmol) and PPTS (0.053 g, 0.21 mmol) in benzene (30 mL) at room temperature. The solution was heated at reflux for 15 h, cooled to room temperature and treated with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (10 mL). The two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3\times30$ mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (20 mL), dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo to give yellow oil. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexane) to give azide **14** (1.1 g, 92%) as colourless oil; R_f (5% EtOAc/hexane) 0.5; [α] $_{{\rm D}}^{\rm 25}$ +17.5 (c 1, CHCl₃); {[α]²⁵₀ of compound (-)**14**=-17.4 (c 1, CHCl₃)}; IR (neat): λ_{\max} 2862, 2108, 1612, 1513, 1098 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): d 7.41–7.46 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.31–7.37 (3H, m, Ar-H), 7.24 (2H, d, $J=8.7$ Hz, Ar-H), 6.83 (2H, d, $J=8.7$ Hz, Ar-H), 5.41 (1H, s, PhCH(O)₂), 4.54 (2H, dd, J=10.9, 19.0 Hz, benzylic CH₂), 4.36 (1H, dd, $J=5.1$, 10.9 Hz, CHCH₂OPMB), 3.66–3.68 (7H, m, CH₂OPMB, OCH₃ and CH₂CHN₃), 3.60 (1H, m, CHN₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ 159.1, 137.1, 129.7, 129.1, 128.8, 128.0, 125.9, 113.5, 101.1, 79.6, 72.9, 68.9,

68.6, 54.9, 53.2; MS (ESIMS): m/z 378 $[M+Na]^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $[M+Na]^+$, found 378.1424. C₁₉H₂₁N₃O₄Na requires 378.1429.

4.1.7. tert-Butyl (2S,4S,5S)-4-((4-methoxybenzyloxy)methyl)-2-phenyl-1,3-dioxan-5-yl carbamate (15). A solution of azide 14 (700 mg, 1.97 mmol) and Lindlar catalyst (70 mg, 10% by weight) in MeOH (25 mL) was stirred under $H₂$ atmosphere at room temperature for 6 h. The solution was filtered through Celite and concentrated in vacuo to give pale yellow oil. The crude amine was used for the further step without purification.

To a solution of β -cyclodextrin (220 mg, 0.19 mmol) in distilled water (5 mL) at room temperature was added crude amine in acetone (1 mL) , then $(Boc)_{2}O (0.42 \text{ mL}, 1.97 \text{ mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture and stirring was continued for 20 min. The product was then extracted with ethyl acetate $(2\times25 \text{ mL})$ and washed with brine solution (10 mL). The organic phase was dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product thus obtained was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexane) to afford compound 15 (760 mg, 90%) as a white solid. The aqueous layer was cooled to 5 °C to recover precipitated β-cyclodextrin by filtration (95%); R_f (5%) EtOAc/hexane) 0.41; [α] $^{25}_{D}$ +19.4 (c 1, CHCl₃); {[α] $^{25}_{D}$ of compound (-) **15** = -19.1 (c 1, CHCl₃)); mp 120 °C; IR (KBr): λ_{max} 3345, 2977, 2926, 1680, 1027 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.46-7.51 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.32-7.39 (3H, m, Ar-H), 7.27 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz, Ar-H), 6.86 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz, Ar-H), 5.48 (1H, s, PhCH(O)₂), 4.46-4.57 (2H, m, benzylic CH₂), 4.38 (1H, dd, J=4.3, 6.2 Hz, CHCH₂OPMB), 3.8 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.51-3.76 (5H, m, CH₂OPMB, CH₂CHNH and CHNHBoc), 1.43 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ 159.1, 155.1, 137.5, 129.9, 129.4, 128.9, 128.1, 126.1, 113.7, 101.1, 80.1, 73.2, 70.5, 69.7, 68.9, 67.8, 55.2, 28.2; MS (ESIMS): m/z 452 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 452.2040. C24H31NO6Na requires 452.2049.

4.1.8. tert-Butyl (2S,4S,5S)-4-(hydroxymethyl)-2-phenyl-1,3-dioxan-5-ylcarbamate (16) . To a solution of 15 $(400 \text{ mg}, 0.93 \text{ mmol})$ in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) at 0 °C were added aqueous NaH_2PO_4/Na_2HPO_4 (pH 7) buffer (4 mL) and DDQ (250 mg, 1.1 mmol). The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 2 h the reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite pad, and layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2×10 mL), and the combined organic layer was dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The residue was purified on silica gel by eluting 15% EtOAc/ hexane to afford alcohol 16 (265 mg, 92%) as a white solid; R_f (10%) EtOAc/hexane) 0.45; mp 143 °C; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_0^{25} + 8.43$ (c 1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 3369, 3221, 3060, 2923, 1676, 1566 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): d 7.42–7.47 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.29–7.35 (3H, m, Ar-H), 5.42 (1H, s, PhCH(O)₂), 4.25-4.41 (2H, m, CHCH₂OH and CH_aH_bCHNH), 3.70–3.94 (3H, m, CH_aH_bCHNH and CH₂OH), 3.51–3.57 (2H, m, CHNHBoc and NH), 1.45 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): d 155.8, 137.3, 129.1, 128.1, 126.1, 101.2, 81.7, 80.5, 68.9, 62.4, 43.4, 28.1; MS (ESIMS): m/z 332 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 332.1472. C16H23NO5 Na requires 332.1473.

4.1.9. tert-Butyl (2S,4R,5S)-2-phenyl-4-vinyl-1,3-dioxan-5-ylcarbamate (17). To a solution of oxalyl chloride (0.114 mL, 1.29 mmol) in dry DCM (15 mL) at $-78 \degree$ C, DMSO $(0.136 \text{ mL}, 1.92 \text{ mmol})$ was added dropwise with stirring under nitrogen. After stirring for 15 min, alcohol **16** (200 mg, 0.64 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. After 1 h stirring at -78 °C, Et₃N (0.53 mL, 3.84 mmol) was added and stirred for another 0.5 h at -78 °C and 0.5 h at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was then quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution (10 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2×50 mL), brine (25 mL), dried on Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude compound was used in the next step without purification.

To methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide (2.58 g, 6.4 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere at -78 °C was added

t-BuOK (0.53 g, 7.3 mmol) and stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Then the orange yellow ylide solution was added to the above crude aldehyde in dry THF (5 mL) via a cannula and stirring was continued for 1 h, allowing the temperature to warm to 0° C. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution (15 mL). The mixture was filtered over a sintered funnel and the residue was washed with ether $(3\times15$ mL). The combined organic filtrates were evaporated after washing with water (25 mL), drying over anhydrous Na2SO4, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (8% EtOAc in petroleum ether eluent) afforded 17 (167 mg, 85%) as a light yellow solid; R_f (5%) EtOAc/hexane) 0.55; [α] $_{{\rm D}}^{{\rm 25}}$ +28.1 (c 1, CHCl₃); mp 92 °C; IR (KBr): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 3353, 2977, 2928, 2858, 1685, 1529 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.43–7.49 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.29–7.36 (3H, m, Ar-H), 5.86– 5.99 (1H, m, CH₂=CH), 5.46 (1H, s, PhCH(O)₂), 5.4 (1H, d, J=17.3 Hz, $CH_aH_b=CH$), 5.28 (1H, d, J=10.1 Hz, CH_aH_b=CH), 4.21–4.38 (2H, m, C=CHCH and CH_aH_bCHNH), 3.93-4.04 (1H, m, CH_aH_bCHNH), 3.48-3.72 (2H, m, CHNHBoc and NH), 1.43 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): d 154.9, 137.4, 134.1, 128.9, 128.2, 126.1, 118.9, 100.1, 82.1, 69.9, 60.3, 43.1, 28.3; MS (ESIMS): m/z 328 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI): $[M+Na]^+$, found 328.1531. C₁₇H₂₃NO₄Na requires 328.1524.

4.1.10. tert-Butyl (2S,4R,5S)-4-((E)-pentadec-1-enyl)-2-phenyl-1,3 dioxan-5-yl carbamate (18) . Compound 17 $(0.580 \text{ mg}, 0.26 \text{ mmol})$ and 1-pentadecene (220 mg, 1.04 mmol) were dissolved in $CH₂Cl₂$ (25 mL) at room temperature. Grubbs II generation catalyst (10 mol %) was added to the solution and then the reaction mixture was refluxed under nitrogen for 14 h. After cooling the reaction mixture it was concentrated and purified by column chromatography with hexane:ethyl acetate (4:1) to afford compound 18 (120 mg, 94%) as a white solid; R_f (5% EtOAc/hexane) 0.45; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +10.7 (c 1, CHCl₃); mp 88 °C; IR (KBr): λ_{max} 3354, 2923, 2853, 1686, 1021 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.46-7.53 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.31–7.41 (3H, m, Ar-H), 5.78–5.91 (1H, m, CH=CH), 5.47–5.61 (2H, m, PhCH (0) ₂ and CH=CH), 4.19–4.35 (2H, m, OCHCH and CH_aH_bCHNH), 3.91–4.02 (1H, m, CH_aH_bCHNH), 3.49–3.79 (2H, m, CHNHBoc and NH), 1.98-2.13 (2H, m, CH₂CH=CH), 1.16-1.66 (31H, m, C(CH₃)₃ and CH₃(CH₂)₁₁), 0.87 (3H, t, J=6.7 Hz, CH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.9, 137.6, 136.9, 128.9, 128.2, 126.2, 101.1, 82.3, 69.9, 60.3, 47.7, 32.3, 31.8, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.8, 28.2, 22.6, 14.1; MS (ESIMS): m/z 510 $[M+Na]^+$; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 510.3540. C₃₀H₄₉NO₄Na requires 510.3559.

4.1.11. (2S,3R,4E)-1,3-Diacetoxy-2-acetamido-octadec-4-ene [N,O,Otriacetyl sphingosine] (19). To a solution of 18 (80 mg, 0.16 mmol) in MeOH (6 mL) was added 6 N HCl. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for overnight. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in pyridine (10 mL) and Ac₂O (0.1 mL excess) and DMAP (2 mg) were added sequentially. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h and quenched with H_2O (3 mL). The reaction mixture diluted with H_2O (8 mL) and Et₂O (10 mL) and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $Et₂O$ (2×10 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed sequentially with satd aq CuSO4 $(2\times5$ mL), H₂O (10 mL) and brine (5 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified on silica gel by eluting with 15% EtOAc/hexane to afford **19** (64 mg, 92%) as a white solid; R_f (10%) EtOAc/hexane) 0.45; [α] $_{{\rm D}}^{25}$ – 12.1 (c1, CHCl₃); [lit.^{[21b](#page-7-0)} [α] 24 _D – 13.0 (c1.6 CHCl₃)]; mp 99–101 °C; IR (KBr): λ_{max} 3288, 2919, 2850, 1734, 1653, 1548, 1230 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.79 (1H, dd, J = 15.3, 6.6 Hz, C(5)H), 5.65 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, NH), 5.39 (1H, dd, $J=15.3$, 7.9 Hz, C(4)H), 5.25–5.29 (1H, m, C(3)H), 4.39–4.47 (1H, m, C(2)H), 4.30 (1H, dd, J=11.7, 5.9 Hz, C(1)H_b), 4.04 (1H, dd, J=11.7, 4.4 Hz, C(1)Ha), 1.95-2.13 (11H, m, 3X COCH₃, C(6)H₂), 1.17-1.41 (22H, m, C(7)-C(17)H₂), 0.88 (3H, t, J=6.6 Hz, C(18)H₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz): d 171.0, 170.0, 169.6, 137.5, 124.1, 73.8, 62.5, 60.4, 50.6, 32.2, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 29.1, 28.9, 23.3, 22.6, 21.1, 20.7, 14.1; MS (ESIMS): m/z 448 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 448.3032. C₂₄H₄₃NO₅Na requires 448.3038.

4.1.12. tert-Butyl (2R,4R,5S)-4-((4-methoxybenzyloxy)methyl)-2-phe $nyl-1,3$ -dioxan-5-ylallyl carbamate (20). A round-bottom flask was charged with a 60% dispersion of sodium hydride in mineral oil (0.049 g, 1.2 mmol) and THF (10 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere and the resulting cloudy white suspension was cooled to 0° C. A solution of carbamate $(-)$ 15 $(0.44 \text{ g}, 1 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (10 mL) was added via syringe, followed by allyl bromide(0.1 mL, 1.2 mmol), 18 crown-6-ether (0.05 g, 0.2 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (5 mL) and the whole mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×25 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was purified by column chromatography (7% EtOAc/hexane) to give 20 (0.45 g, 94%) as yellow oil; Rf (5% EtOAc/hexane) 0.5; [α] $_{\rm D}^{25}$ –33.5 (c 1, CHCl3); IR (neat): λ_{max} 2973, 2931, 1693, 1612, 1152 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.44 (2H, d, J=6.5 Hz, Ar-H), 7.28–7.33 (3H, m, Ar-H), 7.21 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz, Ar-H), 6.81 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz, Ar-H), 5.67-5.83 (1H, s, PhCH(O)₂), 5.39-5.46 (1H, m, CH=CH2), 5.05-5.21 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 4.42-4.67 (2H, m, benzylic CH₂), 3.87-4.11 (3H, m, CHCH₂O and CH₂OPMB), 3.77 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.53-3.69 (5H, m, CH₂CHN, CH₂N and CHNBoc), 1.45 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): d 159.1, 154.6, 134.4, 131.6, 129.2, 128.7, 128.1, 126.1, 117.1, 113.6, 101.0, 78.2, 73.1, 69.5, 67.87, 67.81, 57.1, 55.4, 55.1, 28.2; MS (ESIMS): m/z 492 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 492.2355. C27H35NO6Na requires 492.2362.

4.1.13. tert-Butylallyl (2R,4R,5S)-4-(hydroxymethyl)-2-phenyl-1,3-dioxan- 5-yl carbamate (21) . To a solution of 20 (418 mg, 0.89 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) at 0 °C were added aqueous NaH_2PO_4/Na_2HPO_4 (pH 7) buffer (4 mL) and DDQ (242 mg, 1 mmol). The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 2 h the reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite pad, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2×10 mL), and the combined organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified on silica gel by eluting with 15% EtOAc/ hexane to afford alcohol 21 (295 mg, 95%) as a white solid; R_f (10%) EtOAc/hexane) 0.45; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –32.1 (c 1, CHCl₃); mp 120 °C; IR (KBr): λ_{max} 3519, 2977, 1689, 1132 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): d 7.42–7.47 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.31–7.37 (3H, m, Ar-H), 5.75–5.89 (1H, s, PhCH(O)₂), 5.43–5.59 (1H, m, CH=CH2), 5.14–5.31 (2H, m, CH=CH₂), 4.07-4.49 (3H, m, CHCH₂OH and CH₂OH), 3.59-3.98 (5H, m, CH₂CHN, CH₂N, CHNBoc), 1.47 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): d 155.9, 134.5, 129.1, 128.2, 126.1, 117.19, 101.1, 78.2, 73.1, 67.8, 67.2, 62.1, 55.4, 28.2; MS (ESIMS): m/z 372 $[M+Na]^+$; HRMS (ESI): $[M+Na]^+$, found 372.1798. C₁₉H₂₇NO₅Na requires 372.1786.

4.1.14. tert-Butyl (2R,4S,5S)-4-((E)-2-(ethoxycarbonyl)vinyl)-2-phenyl-1,3-dioxan-5-ylallyl carbamate (22). To a solution of oxalyl chloride (0.137 mL, 1.54 mmol) in dry DCM (15 mL) at -78 °C, DMSO (0.164 mL, 2.32 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring under nitrogen. After stirring for 15 min, alcohol 21 (270 mg, 0.77 mmol) in dry DCM (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. After 1 h stirring at -78 °C, Et₃N (0.64 mL, 4.62 mmol) was added and stirred for another 0.5 h at -78 °C and 0.5 h at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was then quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution (10 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2×50 mL), brine (25 mL), dried on Na2SO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The crude compound thus obtained was used in the next step without further purification.

To a stirred solution of LiBr in dry THF under nitrogen atmosphere, at room temperature, was added triethylphosphonoacetate (0.184 mL, 0.92 mmol), DBU (117 mg, 0.77 mmol) and finally aldehyde dissolved in THF (10 mL). After 1 h stirring saturated NH4Cl (5 mL) was added and the whole mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×25 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexane) to give the ester 22 (302 mg, 94%) as a colourless liquid; R_f (5% EtOAc/hexane) 0.47; [α] $_{\rm D}^{25}$ –62.1 (c 1, CHCl3); IR (neat): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 2977, 2930, 1721, 1694, 1149, 1025 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.27-7.48 (5H, m, Ar-H), 6.92 (1H, dd, $J=15.8$, 10.7 Hz, CH=CHCO), 6.08 (1H, d, $J=15.8$ Hz, CH=CHCO), 5.39–5.93 (2H, m, PhCH(O)₂ and CH=CH2), 5.11–5.34 (2H, m, $CH=CH₂$), 4.37–4.51 (1H, m, CH=CHCH), 4.01–4.25 (5H, m, CH₂CHN, CH₂N, CHNBoc), 3.57-3.96 (2H, m, OCH₂), 1.47 (9H, s, $C(CH_3)_{3}$), 1.29 (3H, t, J=6.98 Hz, CH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): d 165.9, 154.5, 143.4, 134.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.2, 125.9, 122.4, 117.6, 100.7, 78.2, 77.4, 68.2, 67.1, 60.4, 55.4, 28.3, 14.1; MS (ESIMS): m/z 440 $[M+Na]^+$; HRMS (ESI): $[M+Na]^+$, found 440.2042. $C_{23}H_{31}NO_6$ Na requires 440.2049.

4.1.15. (2R,4aS,8aS)-tert-Butyl4,4a-dihydro-2-phenyl-6H-[1,3]diox $ino[5,4-b]$ pyridine-5 (8aH)-carboxylate (23). Bis-(tricyclohexylphospine)benzylideneruthenium (IV) chloride (Grubbs' catalyst) $(5 \text{ mg}, 10 \text{ mol\%})$ was added to a solution of 22 $(250 \text{ mg}, 0.6 \text{ mmol})$ in toluene (300 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at 90 \degree C. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was purified by chromatography (20% EtOAc/hexane) to afford 23 (175 mg, 92%) as a black solid; R_f (15% EtOAc/hexane) 0.44; mp 89 °C; [α] $_{{\rm D}}^{\rm 25}$ +16.5 (c 1, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 3043, 2974, 2877, 1704, 1240 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.43–7.47 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.29-7.35 (3H, m, Ar-H), 5.72-5.85 (2H, m, CH=CH), 5.57 $(1H, s, PhCH(O)₂), 4.73$ (1H, dd, J=4.8, 6.4 Hz, C=CHCH), 4.49 (1H, apparent t, J=11.2, 10.4 Hz, CH_aH_bCHN), 4.2–4.34 (2H, m, CH_aH_bCHN and CHNBoc), 3.68 (1H, dd, J=2.4, 16.1 Hz, CH_aH_bN), 3.17–3.25 (1H, m, CH_aH_bN), 1.47 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.2, 137.6, 128.9, 128.2, 127.1, 126.1, 101.4, 80.6, 75.2, 70.1, 55.1, 45.9, 28.3; MS (ESIMS): m/z 340 [M+Na]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 340.1529. C₁₈H₂₃NO₄Na requires 340.1524.

4.1.16. (2R,4aS,7S,8S,8aR)-tert-Butylhexahydro-7,8-dihydroxy-2 phenyl-[1,3] dioxino [5,4-b] pyridine-5-carboxylate (24) . To a solution of compound 23 (150 mg, 0.47 mmol) in acetone (2 mL) was added aqueous 4% OsO₄ (65 µL, 0.01 mmol) solution at 0 °C. After 10 min, aqueous 50% NMO solution (0.23 mL, 1.41 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at the same temperature. To the reaction mixture $Na₂SO₃$ and $Na₂SO₄$ were added, it was filtered through a pad of Celite and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (50% EtOAc/ hexane) to afford the diol 24 (142 mg, 86%) as a colourless liquid; R_f (40% EtOAc/hexane) 0.52; $[\alpha]_D^{25} +4.4$ (c 1, CHCl₃); IR (neat): λ_{max} 3427, 2923, 1694, 1163 cm $^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.43– 7.47 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.32–7.37 (3H, m, Ar-H), 5.58 (1H, s, PhCH(O)2), 4.74 (1H, dd, J=4.3, 7.3 Hz, CHCHN), 4.48 (1H, apparent t, J=11.7, 10.9 Hz, CH_aH_bCHN), 4.15 (1H, br s, CH_aH_bCHN), 4.01 (1H, dd, 5.1, 8.0 Hz, CHNBoc), 3.58–3.67 (2H, m, 2X CHOH), 3.45–3.53 (1H, m, CH_aH_bN), 2.88 (1H, t, J=12.4, 11.7 Hz, CH_aH_bN), 2.8 (1H, br s, OH), 2.71 (1H, br s, OH), 1.45 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): d 154.2, 137.3, 129.1, 128.2, 126.1, 101.3, 80.7, 77.7, 69.8, 69.2, 66.7, 50.1, 46.9, 28.3; MS (ESIMS): m/z 374 $[M+Na]^+$; HRMS (ESI): [M+Na]⁺, found 374.1576. C₁₈H₂₅NO₆Na requires 374.1579.

4.1.17. D-1-Deoxyallonojirimycin (7). To a solution of 24 (90 mg, 0.25 mmol) in MeOH (6 mL) was added 6 N HCl (5 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was treated with Dowex 50wX8 ion-exchange resin using a sequence of water and 5% NH4OH as eluent to yield 7 (37 mg, 89%) as a white solid; R_f (MeOH) 0.2; mp 163 °C; [α] $_{D}^{25}$ +35.1 (c 1, MeOH), [lit.^{[26](#page-7-0)} [α] $_{D}^{25}$ +36.2 (c 0.83 MeOH)]; IR (neat): λ_{max} 3448, 2924, 2855, 1626, 1379, 1036, 763, 613 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.0 (1H, br s, NCHCHCH), 3.71 (1H, dd, J=2.9, 11.7 Hz, NCHCH), 3.52-3.63 (2H, m, NCH2CH and CH_aH_bOH), 3.38 (1H, dd, J=10.3, 2.9 Hz, CH_aH_bOH), 2.75 (1H, dd, J=12.5, 5.1 Hz, NCH_aH_e), 2.55–2.67 (2H, m, NCH, NCH_aH_e); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 72.3, 69.5, 69.0, 62.2, 55.3, 44.5; MS (ESIMS): m/z 164 [M+H]⁺; HRMS (ESI): [M+H]⁺, found 164.0925. C₆H₁₃NO₄ requires 164.0922.

Acknowledgements

We thank CSIR, New Delhi, India, for fellowships to R.S and B.S.

References and notes

- 1. Sasaki, M.; Tanino, K.; Hirai, A.; Miyashita, M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1789.
- 2. (a) Tomata, Y.; Sasaki, M.; Tanino, K.; Miyashita, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 8975; (b) Rogers, E. W.; Molinski, T. F. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 437.
- 3. (a) Riethmuller, J.; Riehle, A.; Grassme, H.; Gulbins, E. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2006, 1758, 2139; (b) Snook, C. F.; Jones, J. A.; Hannun, Y. A. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2006, 1761, 927.
- 4. (a) Kester, M.; Kolesnick, R. Pharm. Res. 2003, 47, 365; (b) Rosen, H.; Liao, J. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2003, 7, 461; (c) Summers, S. A.; Nelson, D. H. Diabetes 2005, 54, 591; (d) Modrak, D. E.; Gold, D. V.; Goldenberg, D. M. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2006, 5, 200; (e) Zhou, S.; Zhou, H.; Walian, P. J.; Jap, B. K. Biochemistry 2007, 46, 2553; (f) Kolter, T.; Sandhoff, K. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2006, 1758, 2057.
- 5. (a) Karlsson, K. A. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 1991, 12, 265; (b) Hannun, Y.; Bell, R. M. Science 1989, 243, 500; (c) Hannun, Y. Science 1996, 274, 1855; (d) Kolter, T.; Sandhoff, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1532; (e) Sawatzki, P.; Kolter, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 3693.
- 6. (a) Koskinen, P. M.; Koskinen, A. M. P. Synthesis 1998, 1075; (b) Howell, A. R.; Ndakala, A. J. Curr. Org. Chem. 2002, 6, 365.
- 7. (a) Chun, J.; Lee, G.; Byun, H.-P.; Bittman, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 375; (b) Yadav, J. S.; Geetha, V.; Raju, A. K.; Gnaneshwar, D.; Chandrasekhar, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 2983; (c) Lombardo, M.; Capdevila, M. G.; Pasi, F.; Trombini, C. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3303; (d) Lee, J.-M.; Lim, H.-S.; Chung, S.-K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 343.
- 8. (a) Luo, S.-Y.; Thopate, S. R.; Hsu, C.-Y.; Hung, S.-C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 23, 4889; (b) Chaudhari, V. D.; Kumar, K. S. A.; Dhavale, D. D. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5805; (c) Lin, C.-C.; Fan, G.-T.; Fan, J.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5281; (d) Chiu, H.-Y.; Tzou, D.-L. M.; Patkar, L. N.; Lin, C.-C. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5788; (e) Plettenburg, O.; Bodmer-Narkevich, V.; Wong, C.-H. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4559.
- (a) Llaveria, J.; Diaz, Y.; Isabel Matheu, M.; Castillon, S. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 205; (b) Yoon, H. J.; Kim, Y.-W.; Lee, B. K.; Lee, W. K.; Kim, Y.; Ha, Y.-J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 79; (c) Righi, G.; Ciambrone, S.; D'Achille, C.; Leonelli, A.; Bonini, C. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 11821; (d) Enders, D.; Palecek, I.; Grondal, C. Chem. Commun. 2006, 655; (e) He, L.; Byun, H.-S.; Bittman, R. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7618; (f) Cai, Y.; Ling, C.-C.; Bundle, D. R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 1140.
- 10. Paulsen, H. Iminosugars as Glycosidase Inhibitors; Wiley-VCH: Germany, 2004; p. 1. 11. (a) Elbein, A. D. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1987, 56, 497; (b) Legler, G. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1990, 48, 319.
- 12. (a) Wrodnigg, T. M.; Steiner, A. J.; Ueberbacher, B. J. Anti- Cancer Agents Med. Chem. 2008, 8, 77; (b) Yoshikuni, Y.; Ezure, Y.; Seto, T.; Mori, K.; Watanabe, M.; Enomoto, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 106; (c) Kimura, M.; Chen, F.-J.; Nakashima, N.; Kimura, I.; Asano, N.; Koya, S. J. Trad. Med. 1995, 12, 214; (d) Robina, I.; Moreno-Vargas, A. J.; Carmona, A. T.; Vogel, P. Curr. Drug Metab. 2004, 5, 329; (e) Butters, T. D.; Dwek, R. A.; Platt, F. M. *Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 4*683;
(f) Fan, J.-Q. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2003, 24, 355.*
- 13. (a) Bols, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 1; (b) Iminosugars as Glycosidase Inhibitors. Nojirimycin and Beyond; Stutz, A. E., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1999.
- 14. For recent publications see: (a) Racine, E.; Bello, C.; Lemaire, S. G.; Vogel, P.; Sandrine, P. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1766; (b) Song, X.; Hollingsworth, R. I. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3115; (c) Takahata, H.; Banba, Y.; Sasatani, M.; Nemoto, H.; Kato, A.; Adachi, I. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 8199; (d) Saha, N. N.; Desai, V. N.; Dhavale, D. D. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 39; (e) Comins, D. L.; Fulp, A. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 6839; (f) Yokoyama, H.; Otaya, K.; Kobayashi, H.; Miyazawa, M.; Yamaguchi, S.; Hirai, Y. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2427.
- 15. Asano, N.; Oseki, K.; Kizu, H.; Matsui, K. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 3701.
- 16. Previous synthesis of allo-DNJ. (a) Guaragna, A.; D'Errico, S.; D'Alonzo, D.; Pedatella, S.; Palumbo, G. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3473; (b) Hong, B.-C.; Chen, Z.-Y.; Nagarajan, A.; Kottani, R.; Chavan, V.; Chen, W.-H.; Jiang, Y.-F.; Zhang, S.-C.; Liao, J.-H.; Sarshar, S. Carbohydr. Res. 2005, 340, 2457; (c) Kato, A.; Kato, N.; Kano, E.; Adachi, I.; Ikeda, K.; Yu, L.; Okamato, T.; Banba, Y.; Ouchi, H.; Takahata, H.; Asano, N. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 2036; (d) Singh, O. V.; Han, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 2387; (e) Asano, K.; Hakogi, T.; Iwama, S.; Katsumura, S. Chem. Commun. 1999, 41.
- 17. (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Prasad, C. V. C.; Somers, P. K.; Hwang, C. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5335; (b) Millar, J. G.; Oehlschlager, A. C. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2332.
- 18. (a) Katsuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5974; (b) Pfenninger, A. Synthesis 1986, 89; (c) Baker, S. R.; Boot, J. R.; Molgan, S. E.; Osborne, D. T.; Ross, W. J.; Shrubsall, P. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4469.
- 19. Hayes, C. J.; Sherlock, A. E.; Green, M. P.; Wilson, C.; Blake, A. J.; Selby, M. D.;
- Prodger, J. C. *J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2041.*
20. Reddy, M. S.; Narender, M.; Nageswar, Y. V. D.; Rao, K. R. *Synlett 2006,* 1110.
- 21. (a) Abraham, E.; Davies, S. G.; Millican, N. L.; Nicholson, R. L.; Roberts, P. M.; Smith, A. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1655; (b) Disadee, W.; Ishikawa, T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9399; (c) Findeis, M. A.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2838.
- 22. Blanchette, M. A.; Choy, W.; Davis, J. T.; Essenfeld, A. P.; Masamune, S.; Roush, W. R.; Sakai, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2183.
- 23. (a) Grubbs, R. H.; Chang, S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4413; (b) Furstner, A. Angew. *Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3013; (c) Trnka, T. M.; Grubbs, R. H. Acc. Chem. Res.*
2001, 34, 18; (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*
2005, 44, 4490; (e) Bhasker, G.; Rao, B. V. Tetr
- 24. (a) Ghosh, S.; Shashidar, J.; Kumar Dutta, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6041; (b) Cha, J. K.; Kim, N.-S. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1761; (c) Cha, J. K.; Christ, W. J.; Kishi, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3943.
- 25. Guaragna, A.; D'Alonzo, D.; Paolella, C.; Palumbo, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 2045.
- 26. Takemura, A.; Fujiwara, K.; Shimawaki, K.; Murai, A.; Kawai, H.; Suzuki, T. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 7392.